

BLM REALIZATION FOR THE INTEGRAL FORM OF QUANTUM \mathfrak{gl}_n

QIANG FU

ABSTRACT. Let $\mathbf{U}(n)$ be the quantum enveloping algebra of \mathfrak{gl}_n over $\mathbb{Q}(v)$, where v is an indeterminate. We will use q -Schur algebras to realize the integral form of $\mathbf{U}(n)$. Furthermore we will use this result to realize quantum \mathfrak{gl}_n over k , where k is a field containing an l -th primitive root ε of 1 with $l \geq 1$ odd.

1. INTRODUCTION

It is well known that the positive part of the integral form of quantum enveloping algebras of finite type was realized as a Ringel–Hall algebra (see [16, 17]). Using a beautiful geometric construction of q -Schur algebras, the entire quantum \mathfrak{gl}_n over the rational function field $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ (with v being an indeterminate) was realized by A. A. Beilinson, G. Lusztig and R. MacPherson (BLM) in [1].

Let $U(n)$ be the Lusztig \mathcal{Z} -form of quantum \mathfrak{gl}_n , where $\mathcal{Z} = \mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]$. We will give BLM realization of $U(n)$ in this paper. More precisely, We will construct a certain \mathcal{Z} -submodule of $\prod_{r \geq 0} \mathcal{S}(n, r)$, denoted by $\mathcal{V}(n)$, where $\mathcal{S}(n, r)$ is the q -Schur algebra over $\mathbb{Q}(v)$. We will show that $\mathcal{V}(n)$ is a \mathcal{Z} -subalgebra of $\prod_{r \geq 0} \mathcal{S}(n, r)$ and prove in 4.4 that $\mathcal{V}(n)$ is isomorphic to $U(n)$ as a \mathcal{Z} -algebra. Similarly, we may construct the affine version of $\mathcal{V}(n)$, denoted by $\mathcal{V}_\Delta(n)$, which is a certain \mathcal{Z} -submodule of $\prod_{r \geq 0} \mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)$, where $\mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)$ is the affine q -Schur algebra over $\mathbb{Q}(v)$. We conjecture that $\mathcal{V}_\Delta(n)$ is a \mathcal{Z} -subalgebra of $\prod_{r \geq 0} \mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)$. If this conjecture is true, then $\mathcal{V}_\Delta(n)$ is isomorphic to the \mathcal{Z} -module $\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n)$ defined in [2, (3.8.1.1)].

Let k be a field containing an l -th primitive root ε of 1 with $l \geq 1$ odd. Specializing v to ε , k will be viewed as a \mathcal{Z} -module. Let $U_k(n) = U(n) \otimes_{\mathcal{Z}} k$ and $\overline{U_k(n)} = U_k(n) / \langle K_i^l - 1 \mid 1 \leq i \leq n-1 \rangle$. We will prove that the algebra $\overline{U_k(n)}$ can be realized as a k -subalgebra of $\prod_{r \geq 0} \mathcal{S}_k(n, r)$, where $\mathcal{S}_k(n, r)$ is the q -Schur algebra over k .

We organize this paper as follows. We recall some results of quantum \mathfrak{gl}_n and q -Schur algebras in §2. We will establish some useful multiplication formulas for q -Schur algebras in 3.4 and 3.5. A certain \mathcal{Z} -submodule of $\prod_{r \geq 0} \mathcal{S}(n, r)$, denoted by $\mathcal{V}(n)$, will be constructed in §4. We will use 3.4 and 3.5 to prove that $\mathcal{V}(n)$ is BLM realization of $U(n)$. Furthermore, we will give realization of $\overline{U_k(n)}$ in 4.6.

Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China, the Program NCET, Fok Ying Tung Education Foundation and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities.

Throughout this paper, let $\mathcal{Z} = \mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]$, where v is an indeterminate, and let $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ be the fraction field of \mathcal{Z} . For $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ let $[i] = \frac{v^i - v^{-i}}{v - v^{-1}}$ and $\llbracket i \rrbracket = \frac{v^{2i} - 1}{v^2 - 1}$. For integers N, t with $t \geq 0$, let

$$\begin{bmatrix} N \\ t \end{bmatrix} = \frac{[N][N-1] \cdots [N-t+1]}{[t]!} \in \mathcal{Z}, \quad \llbracket \begin{bmatrix} N \\ t \end{bmatrix} \rrbracket = \frac{\llbracket N \rrbracket \llbracket N-1 \rrbracket \cdots \llbracket N-t+1 \rrbracket}{\llbracket t \rrbracket!} \in \mathcal{Z}$$

where $[t]! = [1][2] \cdots [t]$ and $\llbracket t \rrbracket! = \llbracket 1 \rrbracket \llbracket 2 \rrbracket \cdots \llbracket t \rrbracket$. For $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n$ let $\begin{bmatrix} \mu \\ \lambda \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mu_1 \\ \lambda_1 \end{bmatrix} \cdots \begin{bmatrix} \mu_n \\ \lambda_n \end{bmatrix}$.

2. THE QUANTUM \mathfrak{gl}_n AND THE q -SCHUR ALGEBRA

The below definition of quantum \mathfrak{gl}_n is a slightly modified version of Jimbo [11]; see [9, 18].

Definition 2.1. The quantum enveloping algebra of \mathfrak{gl}_n is the $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ -algebra $\mathbf{U}(n)$ presented by generators

$$E_i, F_i \quad (1 \leq i \leq n-1), \quad K_j, K_j^{-1} \quad (1 \leq j \leq n)$$

and relations

- (a) $K_i K_j = K_j K_i, \quad K_i K_i^{-1} = 1;$
- (b) $K_i E_j = v^{\delta_{i,j} - \delta_{i,j+1}} E_j K_i;$
- (c) $K_i F_j = v^{\delta_{i,j+1} - \delta_{i,j}} F_j K_i;$
- (d) $E_i E_j = E_j E_i, \quad F_i F_j = F_j F_i$ when $|i - j| > 1;$
- (e) $E_i F_j - F_j E_i = \delta_{i,j} \frac{\tilde{K}_i - \tilde{K}_i^{-1}}{v - v^{-1}},$ where $\tilde{K}_i = K_i K_{i+1}^{-1};$
- (f) $E_i^2 E_j - (v + v^{-1}) E_i E_j E_i + E_j E_i^2 = 0$ when $|i - j| = 1;$
- (g) $F_i^2 F_j - (v + v^{-1}) F_i F_j F_i + F_j F_i^2 = 0$ when $|i - j| = 1.$

Following [13], let $U(n)$ be the \mathcal{Z} -subalgebra of $\mathbf{U}(n)$ generated by all $E_i^{(m)}, F_i^{(m)}, K_i^{\pm 1}$ and $\begin{bmatrix} K_i; 0 \\ t \end{bmatrix}$, where for $m, t \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$E_i^{(m)} = \frac{E_i^m}{[m]!}, \quad F_i^{(m)} = \frac{F_i^m}{[m]!}, \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{bmatrix} K_i; 0 \\ t \end{bmatrix} = \prod_{s=1}^t \frac{K_i v^{-s+1} - K_i^{-1} v^{s-1}}{v^s - v^{-s}}.$$

Let $\Theta(n)$ be the set of all $n \times n$ matrices over \mathbb{N} . Let $\Theta^\pm(n)$ be the set of all $A \in \Theta(n)$ whose diagonal entries are zero. Let $\Theta^+(n)$ (resp., $\Theta^-(n)$) be the subset of $\Theta(n)$ consisting of those matrices A with $a_{i,j} = 0$ for all $i > j$ (resp., $i < j$). For $A \in \Theta^\pm(n)$, write $A = A^+ + A^-$ with $A^+ \in \Theta^+(n)$ and $A^- \in \Theta^-(n)$. For $A \in \Theta^\pm(n)$ let

$$(2.1.1) \quad E^{(A^+)} = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq h < j \leq n} E_h^{(a_{i,j})} \quad \text{and} \quad F^{(A^-)} = \prod_{1 \leq j \leq h < i \leq n} F_h^{(a_{i,j})}$$

The orders in which the products $E^{(A^+)}$ and $F^{(A^-)}$ are taken are defined as follows. Put

$$M_j = M_j(A^+) = E_{j-1}^{(a_{j-1,j})} (E_{j-2}^{(a_{j-2,j})} E_{j-1}^{(a_{j-2,j-1})}) \cdots (E_1^{(a_{1,j})} E_2^{(a_{1,j})} \cdots E_{j-1}^{(a_{1,j})}).$$

Similarly, put

$$M'_j = (F_{j-1}^{(a_{j,1})} \cdots F_2^{(a_{j,1})} F_1^{(a_{j,1})}) \cdots (F_{j-1}^{(a_{j,j-2})} F_{j-2}^{(a_{j,j-2})}) F_{j-1}^{(a_{j,j-1})}.$$

Then $E^{(A^+)} = M_n M_{n-1} \cdots M_2$ and $F^{(A^-)} = M'_2 M'_3 \cdots M'_n$. According to [13, 4.5] and [14, 7.8] we have the following result.

Proposition 2.2. *The set*

$$\{E^{(A^+)} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} K_i^{\delta_i} \begin{bmatrix} K_i; 0 \\ \lambda_i \end{bmatrix} F^{(A^-)} \mid A \in \Theta^\pm(n), \delta, \lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n, \delta_i \in \{0, 1\}, \forall i\}$$

forms a \mathcal{Z} -basis of $U(n)$.

Schur algebras are certain important finite-dimensional algebras. It is used to link representation of general linear groups and symmetric groups. q -Schur algebras are quantum deformation of Schur algebras, which is defined by certain endomorphism algebras arising from Hecke algebras of type A . We now follow [3, 4] to recall the definition of q -Schur algebras as follows. Let \mathfrak{S}_r be the symmetric group on r letters. The symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_r is generated by the set $\{s_i := (i, i+1) \mid 1 \leq i \leq r-1\}$. The Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}(r)$ associated with \mathfrak{S}_r is the \mathcal{Z} -algebra generated by T_i ($1 \leq i \leq r-1$), with the following relations:

$$(T_i + 1)(T_i - q) = 0, \quad T_i T_{i+1} T_i = T_{i+1} T_i T_{i+1}, \quad T_i T_j = T_j T_i \quad (|i - j| > 1).$$

where $q = v^2$. If $w = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \cdots s_{i_m}$ is reduced let $T_w = T_{i_1} T_{i_2} \cdots T_{i_m}$. Then the set $\{T_w \mid w \in \mathfrak{S}_r\}$ forms a \mathcal{Z} -basis for $\mathcal{H}(r)$. Let $\Lambda(n, r) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n \mid \sigma(\lambda) := \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \lambda_i = r\}$. For $\lambda \in \Lambda(n, r)$, let \mathfrak{S}_λ be the Young subgroup of \mathfrak{S}_r and let $x_\lambda = \sum_{w \in \mathfrak{S}_\lambda} T_w$. Let $\mathcal{H}(r) = \mathcal{H}(r) \otimes_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathbb{Q}(v)$. The endomorphism algebras

$$\mathcal{S}(n, r) := \text{End}_{\mathcal{H}(r)} \left(\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda(n, r)} x_\lambda \mathcal{H}(r) \right), \quad \mathcal{S}(n, r) := \text{End}_{\mathcal{H}(r)} \left(\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda(n, r)} x_\lambda \mathcal{H}(r) \right)$$

are called q -Schur algebras. For $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda(n, r)$ let $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda, \mu}$ be the set of distinguished double $(\mathfrak{S}_\lambda, \mathfrak{S}_\mu)$ -coset representatives. For $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda(n, r)$, $d \in \mathcal{D}_{\lambda, \mu}$, define $\phi_{\lambda\mu}^d \in \mathcal{S}(n, r)$ by

$$\phi_{\lambda\mu}^d(x_\nu h) = \delta_{\mu, \nu} \sum_{x \in \mathfrak{S}_\lambda d \mathfrak{S}_\mu} T_x h.$$

According to [4, 1.4], the set $\{\phi_{\lambda\mu}^d \mid \lambda, \mu \in \Lambda(n, r), d \in \mathcal{D}_{\lambda, \mu}\}$ forms a \mathcal{Z} -basis for $\mathcal{S}(n, r)$.

Let $\Theta(n, r) = \{A \in \Theta(n) \mid \sigma(A) := \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} a_{i, j} = r\}$. The basis for $\mathcal{S}(n, r)$ can also be indexed by the set $\Theta(n, r)$, which we now describe. For $1 \leq i \leq n$, and $\lambda \in \Lambda(n, r)$ let

$$R_i^\lambda = \left\{ \sum_{1 \leq t \leq i-1} \lambda_t + 1, \sum_{1 \leq t \leq i-1} \lambda_t + 2, \dots, \sum_{1 \leq t \leq i-1} \lambda_t + \lambda_i \right\},$$

According to [10, 1.3.10], there is a bijective map

$$j : \{(\lambda, d, \mu) \mid d \in \mathcal{D}_{\lambda, \mu}, \lambda, \mu \in \Lambda(n, r)\} \longrightarrow \Theta(n, r)$$

sending (λ, d, μ) to $A = (a_{k,l})$, where $a_{k,l} = |R_k^\lambda \cap dR_l^\mu|$ for all $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda(n, r)$ and $d \in \mathcal{D}_{\lambda, \mu}$ are such that $A = j(\lambda, d, \mu)$, let

$$[A] = v^{-d_A} \phi_{\lambda, \mu}^d, \quad \text{where} \quad d_A = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ i \geq k, j < l}} a_{i,j} a_{k,l}.$$

Then the set $\{[A] \mid A \in \Theta(n, r)\}$ forms a \mathcal{Z} -basis for $\mathcal{S}(n, r)$.

The geometric definition of q -Schur algebra was given in [1, 1.2]. It is proved in [5, A.1] that the two definitions of q -Schur algebras are equivalent. According to [1, 1.2, 1.3], for $\lambda \in \Lambda(n, r)$ and $A \in \Theta(n, r)$, we have

$$(2.2.1) \quad [\text{diag}(\lambda)][A] = \begin{cases} [A] & \text{if } \lambda = \text{ro}(A) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad [A][\text{diag}(\lambda)] = \begin{cases} [A] & \text{if } \lambda = \text{co}(A) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $\text{ro}(A) = (\sum_j a_{1,j}, \dots, \sum_j a_{n,j})$ and $\text{co}(A) = (\sum_i a_{i,1}, \dots, \sum_i a_{i,n})$ are the sequences of row and column sums of A .

The algebra $\mathbf{U}(n)$ and the q -Schur algebra $\mathcal{S}(n, r)$ are related by an algebra epimorphism ζ_r which we now describe. For $A \in \Theta^\pm(n)$, $\delta \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n$ let

$$\begin{aligned} A(\delta, \lambda, r) &= \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda(n, r - \sigma(A))} v^{\mu \bullet \delta} \begin{bmatrix} \mu \\ \lambda \end{bmatrix} [A + \text{diag}(\mu)] \in \mathcal{S}(n, r); \\ A(\delta, r) &= \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda(n, r - \sigma(A))} v^{\mu \bullet \delta} [A + \text{diag}(\mu)] \in \mathcal{S}(n, r), \end{aligned}$$

where $\mu \bullet \delta = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \mu_i \delta_i$. Furthermore we set

$$\begin{aligned} A(\delta, \lambda) &= (A(\delta, \lambda, r))_{r \geq 0} \in \prod_{r \geq 0} \mathcal{S}(n, r); \\ A(\delta) &= (A(\delta, r))_{r \geq 0} \in \prod_{r \geq 0} \mathcal{S}(n, r). \end{aligned}$$

Then by definition we have $A(\delta) = A(\delta, \mathbf{0})$, where $\mathbf{0} = (0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{N}^n$. For $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, let $E_{i,j} \in \Theta(n)$ be the matrix $(a_{k,l})$ with $a_{k,l} = \delta_{i,k} \delta_{j,l}$. According to [1], there is an algebra epimorphism

$$\zeta_r : \mathbf{U}(n) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{S}(n, r)$$

satisfying $\zeta_r(E_h) = E_{h, h+1}(\mathbf{0}, r)$, $\zeta_r(K_1^{j_1} K_2^{j_2} \dots K_n^{j_n}) = 0(\mathbf{j}, r)$ and $\zeta_r(F_h) = E_{h+1, h}(\mathbf{0}, r)$, for $1 \leq h \leq n-1$ and $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$.

We conclude this section by recalling an important triangular relation in q -Schur algebras. For $A = (a_{s,t}) \in \Theta(n)$ and $i < j$, let $\sigma_{i,j}(A) = \sum_{s \leq i; t \geq j} a_{s,t}$ and $\sigma_{j,i}(A) = \sum_{s \leq i; t \geq j} a_{t,s}$. Define $A' \preceq A$ iff $\sigma_{i,j}(A') \leq \sigma_{i,j}(A)$ and $\sigma_{j,i}(A') \leq \sigma_{j,i}(A)$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq n$. Put $A' \prec A$ if $A' \preceq A$ and, for some pair (i, j) with $i \neq j$, $\sigma_{i,j}(A') < \sigma_{i,j}(A)$. According to [1, 5.3 and 5.4(c)], we have the following result.

Proposition 2.3. For $A \in \Theta^\pm(n)$, we have

$$\prod_{1 \leq i \leq h < j \leq n} (a_{i,j} E_{h,h+1})(\mathbf{0}) \cdot \prod_{1 \leq j \leq h < i \leq n} (a_{i,j} E_{h+1,h})(\mathbf{0}) = A(\mathbf{0}) + f$$

where the ordering of the products in the left hand side of the above equation is the same as in (2.1.1) and f is the $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ -linear combination of $B(\mathbf{j})$ with $B \in \Theta^\pm(n)$, $B \prec A$ and $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$.

3. THE MULTIPLICATION FORMULAS FOR q -SCHUR ALGEBRAS

We will derive certain useful multiplication formulas for q -Schur algebras in 3.4 and 3.5.

We need some preparation before proving 3.4 and 3.5. Let $\bar{\cdot} : \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ be the ring homomorphism defined by $\bar{v} = v^{-1}$. The following impotent multiplication formulas for q -Schur algebras was proved in [1, 3.4].

Proposition 3.1. Let $1 \leq h \leq n-1$, $A \in \Theta(n, r)$ and $\lambda = \text{ro}(A)$. Let $B_m = \text{diag}(\lambda) + mE_{h,h+1} - mE_{h+1,h+1}$ and $C_m = \text{diag}(\lambda) - mE_{h,h} + mE_{h+1,h}$. Then in $\mathcal{S}(n, r)$

$$(1) [B_m] \cdot [A] = \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{t} \in \Lambda(n, m) \\ \forall u \in \mathbb{Z}, t_u \leq a_{h+1,u}}} v^{\beta(\mathbf{t}, A)} \prod_{u \in \mathbb{Z}} \left[\frac{a_{h,u} + t_u}{t_u} \right] \left[A + \sum_{u \in \mathbb{Z}} t_u (E_{h,u}^\Delta - E_{h+1,u}^\Delta) \right];$$

for all $0 \leq m \leq \lambda_{h+1}$, where $\beta(\mathbf{t}, A) = \sum_{j \geq u} a_{h,j} t_u - \sum_{j > u} a_{h+1,j} t_u + \sum_{u < u'} t_u t_{u'}$.

$$(2) [C_m] \cdot [A] = \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{t} \in \Lambda(n, m) \\ \forall u \in \mathbb{Z}, t_u \leq a_{h,u}}} v^{\gamma(\mathbf{t}, A)} \prod_{u \in \mathbb{Z}} \left[\frac{a_{h+1,u} + t_u}{t_u} \right] \left[A - \sum_{u \in \mathbb{Z}} t_u (E_{h,u}^\Delta - E_{h+1,u}^\Delta) \right],$$

for all $0 \leq m \leq \lambda_h$, where $\gamma(\mathbf{t}, A) = \sum_{j \leq u} a_{h+1,j} t_u - \sum_{j < u} a_{h,j} t_u + \sum_{u < u'} t_u t_{u'}$.

We also need the following formulas for Gaussian binomial coefficient (see [12]).

Lemma 3.2. For $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$(1) \begin{bmatrix} n \\ a \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq a} v^{2(m-j)(a-j)} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ j \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-m \\ a-j \end{bmatrix};$$

$$(2) \begin{bmatrix} m \\ a \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ b \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{0 \leq c \leq \min\{a, b\}} v^{2(b-c)(a-c)} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ a+b-c \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a+b-c \\ c, a-c, b-c \end{bmatrix}, \text{ where } \begin{bmatrix} a+b-c \\ c, a-c, b-c \end{bmatrix} = \frac{[a+b-c]!}{[c]! [a-c]! [b-c]!}.$$

Let \leq be the partial order on \mathbb{N}^n defined by setting, for $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{N}^n$, $\lambda \leq \mu$ if and only if $\lambda_i \leq \mu_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. For $\lambda, \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{N}^n$ with $\lambda = \alpha + \beta + \gamma$ let

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda \\ \alpha, \beta, \gamma \end{bmatrix} = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} \frac{[\lambda_i]!}{[\alpha_i]! [\beta_i]! [\gamma_i]!}.$$

The above lemma immediately yields the following corollary.

Corollary 3.3. For $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{N}^n$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ we have

$$(1) \begin{bmatrix} \alpha + \beta \\ \lambda \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{\mu \in \mathbb{N}^n, \mu \leq \lambda} v^{\alpha \cdot (\lambda - \mu) - \mu \cdot \beta} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \mu \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \beta \\ \lambda - \mu \end{bmatrix};$$

$$(2) \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \lambda \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \mu \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{\substack{\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^n \\ \gamma \leq \lambda, \gamma \leq \mu}} v^{\lambda \cdot \mu - \alpha \cdot \gamma} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda + \mu - \gamma \\ \gamma, \lambda - \gamma, \mu - \gamma \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \lambda + \mu - \gamma \end{bmatrix}.$$

We now use 3.1 and 3.3 to prove 3.4 and 3.5.

Lemma 3.4. *For $A \in \Theta^\pm(n)$, $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{N}^n$ and $\delta, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ we have*

$$0(\gamma, \mu)A(\delta, \lambda) = \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}^n, \nu \leq \mu} a_\nu A(\gamma + \delta - \nu, \lambda + \mu - \nu),$$

where 0 stands for the zero matrix and

$$a_\nu = \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{N}^n \\ \nu - \lambda \leq \mathbf{j} \leq \nu}} v^{\text{ro}(A) \cdot (\gamma + \mu - \mathbf{j}) + \lambda \cdot (\mu - \mathbf{j})} \begin{bmatrix} \text{ro}(A) \\ \mathbf{j} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda + \mu - \nu \\ \nu - \mathbf{j}, \lambda - \nu + \mathbf{j}, \mu - \nu \end{bmatrix}.$$

Proof. According to (2.2.1) we have

$$0(\gamma, \mu, r)A(\delta, \lambda, r) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda(n, r - \sigma(A))} v^{(\text{ro}(A) + \alpha) \cdot \gamma + \alpha \cdot \delta} \begin{bmatrix} \text{ro}(A) + \alpha \\ \mu \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \lambda \end{bmatrix} [A + \text{diag}(\alpha)].$$

Furthermore by 3.3 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} \text{ro}(A) + \alpha \\ \mu \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \lambda \end{bmatrix} &= \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{N}^n, \mathbf{j} \leq \mu} v^{\text{ro}(A) \cdot (\mu - \mathbf{j}) - \alpha \cdot \mathbf{j}} \begin{bmatrix} \text{ro}(A) \\ \mathbf{j} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \mu - \mathbf{j} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \lambda \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{j}, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n, \mathbf{j} \leq \mu \\ \beta \leq \lambda, \beta \leq \mu - \mathbf{j}}} v^{(\text{ro}(A) + \lambda) \cdot (\mu - \mathbf{j}) - \alpha \cdot (\mathbf{j} + \beta)} \begin{bmatrix} \text{ro}(A) \\ \mathbf{j} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \lambda + \mu - \mathbf{j} - \beta \end{bmatrix} \\ &\quad \times \begin{bmatrix} \lambda + \mu - \mathbf{j} - \beta \\ \beta, \lambda - \beta, \mu - \mathbf{j} - \beta \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} 0(\gamma, \mu, r)A(\delta, \lambda, r) &= \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{j}, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n, \mathbf{j} \leq \mu \\ \beta \leq \lambda, \beta \leq \mu - \mathbf{j}}} v^{\text{ro}(A) \cdot (\gamma + \mu - \mathbf{j}) + \lambda \cdot (\mu - \mathbf{j})} \begin{bmatrix} \text{ro}(A) \\ \mathbf{j} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda + \mu - \mathbf{j} - \beta \\ \beta, \lambda - \beta, \mu - \mathbf{j} - \beta \end{bmatrix} \\ &\quad \times A(\gamma + \delta - \mathbf{j} - \beta, \lambda + \mu - \mathbf{j} - \beta, r) \\ &= \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}^n, \nu \leq \mu} a_\nu A(\gamma + \delta - \nu, \lambda + \mu - \nu, r). \end{aligned}$$

The assertion follows. \square

For simplicity, we set $A(\delta, \lambda, r) = 0$ and $A(\delta, \lambda) = 0$ if $a_{i,j} < 0$ for some $i \neq j$ for $A \in M_n(\mathbb{Z})$.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $A \in \Theta^\pm(n)$, $\delta \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq h \leq n - 1$.*

(1) *For $\mathbf{t} \in \Lambda(n, m)$, $0 \leq j \leq \lambda_h$, $0 \leq k \leq \lambda_{h+1}$, and $0 \leq c \leq \min\{t_h, j\}$, we set*

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{j,c,k}^{\mathbf{t}} &= \left(\sum_{h > u} t_u + \lambda_h - j - c \right) \mathbf{e}_h + \left(\lambda_{h+1} - k - \sum_{h+1 > u} t_u \right) \mathbf{e}_{h+1}, \\ \beta_{j,c,k}^{\mathbf{t}} &= (t_h + j - c - \lambda_h) \mathbf{e}_h + (k - \lambda_{h+1}) \mathbf{e}_{h+1} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$f_{j,c,k}^{\mathbf{t}} = v^{g_{j,c,k}^{\mathbf{t}}} \prod_{u \neq h} \overline{\begin{bmatrix} a_{h,u} + t_u \\ t_u \end{bmatrix}} \begin{bmatrix} -t_h \\ \lambda_h - j \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} t_h + j - c \\ c, t_h - c, j - c \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} t_{h+1} \\ \lambda_{h+1} - k \end{bmatrix}$$

where $g_{j,c,k}^{\mathbf{t}} = \sum_{j \geq u, j \neq h} a_{h,j} t_u - \sum_{j > u, j \neq h+1} a_{h+1,j} t_u + \sum_{u' \neq h, h+1, u < u'} t_u t_{u'} - t_h \delta_h + t_{h+1} \delta_{h+1} + 2j t_h - k t_{h+1}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (mE_{h,h+1})(\mathbf{0})A(\delta, \lambda) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{t} \in \Lambda(n, m) \\ 0 \leq j \leq \lambda_h, 0 \leq k \leq \lambda_{h+1} \\ 0 \leq c \leq \min\{t_h, j\}}} f_{j,c,k}^{\mathbf{t}} \left(A + \sum_{u \neq h} t_u E_{h,u} - \sum_{u \neq h+1} t_u E_{h+1,u} \right) (\delta + \alpha_{j,c,k}^{\mathbf{t}}, \lambda + \beta_{j,c,k}^{\mathbf{t}}). \end{aligned}$$

(2) For $\mathbf{t} \in \Lambda(n, m)$, $0 \leq j \leq \lambda_{h+1}$, $0 \leq k \leq \lambda_h$, and $0 \leq c \leq \min\{t_{h+1}, j\}$, we set

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\alpha}_{j,c,k}^{\mathbf{t}} &= \left(\sum_{h+1 < u} t_u + \lambda_{h+1} - j - c \right) \mathbf{e}_{h+1} + \left(\lambda_h - k - \sum_{h < u} t_u \right) \mathbf{e}_h, \\ \tilde{\beta}_{j,c,k}^{\mathbf{t}} &= (t_{h+1} + j - c - \lambda_{h+1}) \mathbf{e}_{h+1} + (k - \lambda_h) \mathbf{e}_h \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\tilde{f}_{j,c,k}^{\mathbf{t}} = v^{\tilde{g}_{j,c,k}^{\mathbf{t}}} \prod_{u \neq h+1} \overline{\begin{bmatrix} a_{h+1,u} + t_u \\ t_u \end{bmatrix}} \begin{bmatrix} -t_{h+1} \\ \lambda_{h+1} - j \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} t_{h+1} + j - c \\ c, t_{h+1} - c, j - c \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} t_h \\ \lambda_h - k \end{bmatrix}$$

where $\tilde{g}_{j,c,k}^{\mathbf{t}} = \sum_{j \leq u, j \neq h+1} a_{h+1,j} t_u - \sum_{j < u, j \neq h} a_{h,j} t_u + \sum_{u \neq h, h+1, u < u'} t_u t_{u'} + t_h \delta_h - t_{h+1} \delta_{h+1} + 2j t_{h+1} - k t_h$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (mE_{h+1,h})(\mathbf{0})A(\delta, \lambda) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{t} \in \Lambda(n, m) \\ 0 \leq j \leq \lambda_{h+1}, 0 \leq k \leq \lambda_h \\ 0 \leq c \leq \min\{t_{h+1}, j\}}} \tilde{f}_{j,c,k}^{\mathbf{t}} \left(A - \sum_{u \neq h} t_u E_{h,u} + \sum_{u \neq h+1} t_u E_{h+1,u} \right) (\delta + \tilde{\alpha}_{j,c,k}^{\mathbf{t}}, \lambda + \tilde{\beta}_{j,c,k}^{\mathbf{t}}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. For simplicity, for $A \in M_n(\mathbb{Z})$ with $\sigma(A) = r$, we set $[A] = 0 \in \mathcal{S}(n, r)$ if $a_{i,j} < 0$ for some i, j . According to 3.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (mE_{h,h+1})(\mathbf{0}, r)A(\delta, \lambda, r) \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda(n, r - \sigma(A))} v^{\alpha \cdot \delta} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \lambda \end{bmatrix} [mE_{h,h+1} + \text{diag}(\text{ro}(A) + \alpha - m\mathbf{e}_{h+1})] \cdot [A + \text{diag}(\alpha)] \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \Lambda(n, r - \sigma(A)) \\ \mathbf{t} \in \Lambda(n, m)}} v^{\beta(\mathbf{t}, A + \text{diag}(\alpha)) + \alpha \cdot \delta - t_h \alpha_h} \prod_{u \neq h} \overline{\begin{bmatrix} a_{h,u} + t_u \\ t_u \end{bmatrix}} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_h + t_h \\ t_h \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \lambda \end{bmatrix} \\ & \quad \times \left[A + \sum_{u \neq h} t_u E_{h,u} - \sum_{u \neq h+1} t_u E_{h+1,u} + \text{diag}(\alpha + t_h \mathbf{e}_h - t_{h+1} \mathbf{e}_{h+1}) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\nu = \alpha + t_h \mathbf{e}_h - t_{h+1} \mathbf{e}_{h+1}$. By 3.3 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} \nu_h - t_h \\ \lambda_h \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \nu_h \\ t_h \end{bmatrix} &= \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \lambda_h} v^{\nu_h(\lambda_h - j) + jt_h} \begin{bmatrix} -t_h \\ \lambda_h - j \end{bmatrix} \left(\begin{bmatrix} \nu_h \\ t_h \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \nu_h \\ j \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{0 \leq j \leq \lambda_h \\ 0 \leq c \leq \min\{t_h, j\}}} v^{\nu_h(\lambda_h - j - c) + 2jt_h} \begin{bmatrix} -t_h \\ \lambda_h - j \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \nu_h \\ t_h + j - c \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} t_h + j - c \\ c, t_h - c, j - c \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

and $\begin{bmatrix} \nu_{h+1} + t_{h+1} \\ \lambda_{h+1} \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{0 \leq k \leq \lambda_{h+1}} v^{\nu_{h+1}(\lambda_{h+1} - k) - kt_{h+1}} \begin{bmatrix} \nu_{h+1} \\ k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} t_{h+1} \\ \lambda_{h+1} - k \end{bmatrix}$. This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_h + t_h \\ t_h \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \lambda \end{bmatrix} &= \prod_{s \neq h, h+1} \begin{bmatrix} \nu_s \\ \lambda_s \end{bmatrix} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq k \leq \lambda_{h+1}, 0 \leq j \leq \lambda_h \\ 0 \leq c \leq \min\{t_h, j\}}} v^{x_{j,c,k}^{\nu, \mathbf{t}}} \begin{bmatrix} -t_h \\ \lambda_h - j \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} t_h + j - c \\ c, t_h - c, j - c \end{bmatrix} \\ &\quad \times \begin{bmatrix} t_{h+1} \\ \lambda_{h+1} - k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \nu_h \\ t_h + j - c \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \nu_{h+1} \\ k \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

where $x_{j,c,k}^{\nu, \mathbf{t}} = \nu_h(\lambda_h - j - c) + \nu_{h+1}(\lambda_{h+1} - k) + 2jt_h - kt_{h+1}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} &(mE_{h,h+1})(\mathbf{0}, r)A(\delta, \lambda, r) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{t} \in \Lambda(n, m) \\ 0 \leq j \leq \lambda_h, 0 \leq k \leq \lambda_{h+1} \\ 0 \leq c \leq \min\{t_h, j\}}} \prod_{u \neq h} \overline{\begin{bmatrix} a_{h,u} + t_u \\ t_u \end{bmatrix}} \begin{bmatrix} -t_h \\ \lambda_h - j \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} t_h + j - c \\ c, t_h - c, j - c \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} t_{h+1} \\ \lambda_{h+1} - k \end{bmatrix} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{\nu \in \Lambda(n, r - \sigma(A) + t_h - t_{h+1})} v^{y_{j,c,k}^{\nu}} \prod_{s \neq h, h+1} \begin{bmatrix} \nu_s \\ \lambda_s \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \nu_h \\ t_h + j - c \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \nu_{h+1} \\ k \end{bmatrix} \\ &\quad \times \left[A + \sum_{u \neq h} t_u E_{h,u} - \sum_{u \neq h+1} t_u E_{h+1,u} + \text{diag}(\nu) \right] \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{t} \in \Lambda(n, m) \\ 0 \leq j \leq \lambda_h, 0 \leq k \leq \lambda_{h+1} \\ 0 \leq c \leq \min\{t_h, j\}}} f_{j,c,k}^{\mathbf{t}} \left(A + \sum_{u \neq h} t_u E_{h,u} - \sum_{u \neq h+1} t_u E_{h+1,u} \right) (\delta + \alpha_{j,c,k}^{\mathbf{t}}, \lambda + \beta_{j,c,k}^{\mathbf{t}}). \end{aligned}$$

where $y_{j,c,k}^{\nu, \mathbf{t}} = \beta(\mathbf{t}, A + \text{diag}(\alpha)) + \alpha \cdot \delta - t_h \alpha_h + x_{j,c,k}^{\nu, \mathbf{t}} = g_{j,k}^{\mathbf{t}} + \nu \cdot (\delta + \alpha_{j,c,k}^{\mathbf{t}})$. The assertion (1) follows. The assertion (2) can be proved in a way similar to the proof of (1). \square

4. REALIZATION OF $U(n)$ AND $\overline{U_k(n)}$

We shall denote by $\mathcal{V}(n)$ the \mathcal{Z} -submodule of $\prod_{r \geq 0} \mathcal{S}(n, r)$ spanned by $\{A(\delta, \lambda) \mid A \in \Theta^\pm(n), \delta \in \mathbb{Z}^n, \lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n\}$. Let $\mathcal{V}^0(n)$ be the \mathcal{Z} -subalgebra of $\prod_{r \geq 0} \mathcal{S}(n, r)$ generated by $0(\pm \mathbf{e}_i)$ and $0(0, t\mathbf{e}_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $t \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\mathbf{e}_i = (0, \dots, 0, \underset{i}{1}, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{N}^n$.

Lemma 4.1. *The set $\{0(\delta, \lambda) \mid \delta, \lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n, \delta_i \in \{0, 1\}, \forall i\}$ forms a \mathcal{Z} -basis for $\mathcal{V}^0(n)$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{V}^0(n)$ be the $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ -subalgebra of $\prod_{r \geq 0} \mathcal{S}(n, r)$ generated by $0(\pm e_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Since the set $\{0(\mathbf{j}) \mid \mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}$ forms a $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ -basis for $\mathcal{V}^0(n)$ we conclude that $\mathcal{V}^0(n)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{U}^0(n)$, where $\mathbf{U}^0(n)$ is the $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ -subalgebra of $\mathbf{U}(n)$ generated by $K_i^{\pm 1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Now the assertion follows from [13, 4.5]. \square

We now describe several \mathcal{Z} -bases for $\mathcal{V}(n)$ as follows.

Lemma 4.2. *Each of the following set forms a \mathcal{Z} -basis for $\mathcal{V}(n)$:*

- (1) $\mathfrak{B}_1 = \{0(\delta, \lambda)A(\mathbf{0}) \mid A \in \Theta^\pm(n), \delta, \lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n, \delta_i \in \{0, 1\}, \forall i\};$
- (2) $\mathfrak{B}_2 = \{A(\mathbf{0})0(\delta, \lambda) \mid A \in \Theta^\pm(n), \delta, \lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n, \delta_i \in \{0, 1\}, \forall i\};$
- (3) $\mathfrak{B}_3 = \{A(\delta, \lambda) \mid A \in \Theta^\pm(n), \delta, \lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n, \delta_i \in \{0, 1\}, \forall i\}.$

Proof. According to 3.4 we have

$$0(\delta, \lambda)A(\mathbf{0}) = v^{\text{ro}(A) \cdot (\delta + \lambda)} A(\delta, \lambda) + \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{N}^n, \mathbf{0} < \mathbf{j} \leq \lambda} v^{\text{ro}(A) \cdot (\delta + \lambda - \mathbf{j})} \begin{bmatrix} \text{ro}(A) \\ \mathbf{j} \end{bmatrix} A(\delta - \mathbf{j}, \lambda - \mathbf{j}).$$

It follows that $\mathcal{V}(n)$ is spanned by $\{0(\delta, \lambda)A(\mathbf{0}) \mid A \in \Theta^\pm(n), \delta \in \mathbb{Z}^n, \lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n\}$. Thus by 4.1 we have $\mathcal{V}(n) = \text{span}_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathfrak{B}_1$. Since the set $\{0(\mathbf{j})A(\mathbf{0}) \mid A \in \Theta^\pm(n), \mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}$ is linearly independent, by 4.1 we conclude that the set \mathfrak{B}_1 is linearly independent. Hence the set \mathfrak{B}_1 forms a \mathcal{Z} -basis for $\mathcal{V}(n)$. Similarly, the set \mathfrak{B}_2 forms a \mathcal{Z} -basis for $\mathcal{V}(n)$. It remains to prove that the set \mathfrak{B}_3 forms a \mathcal{Z} -basis for $\mathcal{V}(n)$. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n$ and $\mu, \delta \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ we have

$$v^{\delta_i \mu_i} \begin{bmatrix} \mu_i \\ \lambda_i \end{bmatrix} = v^{\lambda_i} (v^{\lambda_i + 1} - v^{-\lambda_i - 1}) v^{(\delta_i - 1) \mu_i} \begin{bmatrix} \mu_i \\ \lambda_i + 1 \end{bmatrix} + v^{2\lambda_i + (\delta_i - 2) \mu_i} \begin{bmatrix} \mu_i \\ \lambda_i \end{bmatrix}.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} A(\delta, \lambda) &= v^{\lambda_i} (v^{\lambda_i + 1} - v^{-\lambda_i - 1}) A(\delta - e_i, \lambda + e_i) + v^{2\lambda_i} A(\delta - 2e_i, \lambda) \\ &= -v^{-\lambda_i} (v^{\lambda_i + 1} - v^{-\lambda_i - 1}) A(\delta + e_i, \lambda + e_i) + v^{-2\lambda_i} A(\delta + 2e_i, \lambda) \end{aligned}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n$ and $\delta \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. This shows that $\mathcal{V}(n)$ is spanned by \mathfrak{B}_3 . Assume

$$\sum_{\substack{A \in \Theta^\pm(n), \lambda, \delta \in \mathbb{N}^n \\ \delta_i \in \{0, 1\}, \forall i}} f_{A, \delta, \lambda} A(\delta, \lambda) = 0$$

where $f_{A, \delta, \lambda} \in \mathbb{Q}(v)$. Then

$$\sum_{\substack{A \in \Theta^\pm(n) \\ \mu \in \Lambda(n, r - \sigma(A))}} \left(\sum_{\substack{\lambda, \delta \in \mathbb{N}^n \\ \delta_i \in \{0, 1\}, \forall i}} f_{A, \delta, \lambda} v^{\mu \cdot \delta} \begin{bmatrix} \mu \\ \lambda \end{bmatrix} \right) [A + \text{diag}(\mu)] = \sum_{\substack{A \in \Theta^\pm(n), \lambda, \delta \in \mathbb{N}^n \\ \delta_i \in \{0, 1\}, \forall i}} f_{A, \delta, \lambda} A(\delta, \lambda, r) = 0.$$

This implies that

$$\sum_{\substack{\lambda, \delta \in \mathbb{N}^n \\ \delta_i \in \{0, 1\}, \forall i}} f_{A, \delta, \lambda} v^{\mu \cdot \delta} \begin{bmatrix} \mu \\ \lambda \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

for $A \in \Theta^\pm(n)$ and $\mu \in \mathbb{N}^n$. It follows that

$$\sum_{\substack{\lambda, \delta \in \mathbb{N}^n \\ \delta_i \in \{0,1\}, \forall i}} f_{A, \delta, \lambda} 0(\delta, \lambda, r) = 0$$

for $r \geq 0$ and $A \in \Theta^\pm(n)$. Thus by 4.1 we conclude that $f_{A, \delta, \lambda} = 0$ for all A, δ, λ . This shows that the set \mathfrak{B}_3 is linearly independent and hence the set \mathfrak{B}_3 forms a \mathcal{Z} -basis for $\mathcal{V}(n)$. \square

We now use 3.4 and 3.5 to prove that $\mathcal{V}(n)$ is a \mathcal{Z} -subalgebra of $\prod_{r \geq 0} \mathcal{S}(n, r)$.

Proposition 4.3. *$\mathcal{V}(n)$ is a \mathcal{Z} -subalgebra of $\prod_{r \geq 0} \mathcal{S}(n, r)$. Furthermore the elements $(mE_{h, h+1})(\mathbf{0})$, $(mE_{h+1, h})(\mathbf{0})$ and $0(\delta, \lambda)$ (for $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \leq h \leq n-1$, $\delta \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n$) generate $\mathcal{V}(n)$ as a \mathcal{Z} -algebra.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{V}(n)_1$ be the \mathcal{Z} -subalgebra of $\prod_{r \geq 0} \mathcal{S}(n, r)$ generated by $(mE_{h, h+1})(\mathbf{0})$, $(mE_{h+1, h})(\mathbf{0})$ and $0(\delta, \lambda)$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \leq h \leq n-1$, $\delta \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n$. From 3.4 and 3.5 we see that

$$(4.3.1) \quad \mathcal{V}(n)_1 \subseteq \mathcal{V}(n)_1 \mathcal{V}(n) \subseteq \mathcal{V}(n).$$

So by 4.2 it is enough to prove $A(\mathbf{0})0(\delta, \lambda) \in \mathcal{V}(n)_1$ for $A \in \Theta^\pm(n)$, $\delta, \lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n$ with $\delta_i \in \{0, 1\}$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$). We shall prove this by induction on $\|A\|$, where

$$\|A\| = \sum_{r < s} \frac{(s-r)(s-r+1)}{2} a_{rs} + \sum_{r > s} \frac{(r-s)(r-s+1)}{2} a_{rs} \in \mathbb{N}.$$

If $\|A\| = 0$, then $A(\mathbf{0})0(\delta, \lambda) = 0(\delta, \lambda) \in \mathcal{V}(n)_1$. Now we assume that $\|A\| > 0$ and our statement is true for A' with $\|A'\| < \|A\|$. According to 2.3, for $A \in \Theta^\pm(n)$, we have

$$\prod_{1 \leq i \leq h < j \leq n} (a_{i,j} E_{h, h+1})(\mathbf{0}) \cdot \prod_{1 \leq j \leq h < i \leq n} (a_{i,j} E_{h+1, h})(\mathbf{0}) = A(\mathbf{0}) + f$$

where f is the $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ -linear combination of $B(\mathbf{0})0(\mathbf{j})$ with $B \in \Theta^\pm(n)$, $B \prec A$ and $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. It follows that

$$(4.3.2) \quad \prod_{1 \leq i \leq h < j \leq n} (a_{i,j} E_{h, h+1})(\mathbf{0}) \cdot \prod_{1 \leq j \leq h < i \leq n} (a_{i,j} E_{h+1, h})(\mathbf{0}) \cdot 0(\delta, \lambda) = A(\mathbf{0})0(\delta, \lambda) + g$$

for $\delta, \lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n$ with $\delta_i \in \{0, 1\}$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$), where $g = f \cdot 0(\delta, \lambda)$. From (4.3.1), 4.1 and 4.2 we see that g must be a \mathcal{Z} -linear combination of $B(\mathbf{0})0(\gamma, \mu)$ with $B \in \Theta^\pm(n)$, $B \prec A$, $\gamma, \mu \in \mathbb{N}^n$ and $\gamma_i \in \{0, 1\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Note that if $B \in \Theta^\pm(n)$ satisfy $B \prec A$, then $\|B\| < \|A\|$ (see the proof of [1, 4.2]). Thus by induction we conclude that $g \in \mathcal{V}(n)_1$ and hence $A(\mathbf{0})0(\delta, \lambda) \in \mathcal{V}(n)_1$. The assertion follows. \square

Theorem 4.4. *There is a \mathcal{Z} -algebra isomorphism $\zeta : U(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}(n)$ satisfying $E_h^{(m)} \mapsto (mE_{h, h+1})(\mathbf{0})$, $F_h^{(m)} \mapsto (mE_{h+1, h})(\mathbf{0})$ and $\prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} K_i^{\delta_i} \begin{bmatrix} K_i; 0 \\ \lambda_i \end{bmatrix} \mapsto 0(\delta, \lambda)$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \leq h \leq n-1$, $\delta \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n$.*

Proof. The maps $\zeta_r : \mathbf{U}(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(n, r)$ induce an algebra homomorphism

$$\zeta : \mathbf{U}(n) \rightarrow \prod_{r \geq 0} \mathcal{S}(n, r)$$

satisfying $\zeta(x) = (\zeta_r(x))_{r \geq 0}$ for $x \in \mathbf{U}(n)$. From 4.3 we see that $\zeta(U(n)) = \mathcal{V}(n)$. Furthermore by 2.2, 4.2 and (4.3.2), we conclude that ζ is injective. \square

Remark 4.5. (1) Let $\mathcal{V}(n)$ be the $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ -subspace of $\prod_{r \geq 0} \mathcal{S}(n, r)$ spanned by $\{A(\delta) \mid A \in \Theta^\pm(n), \delta \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}$. Then $\mathcal{V}(n) \cong \mathcal{V}(n) \otimes_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathbb{Q}(v)$. According to 4.3 and 4.4 we conclude that $\mathcal{V}(n)$ is a $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ -subalgebra of $\prod_{r \geq 0} \mathcal{S}(n, r)$ and $\mathbf{U}(n) \cong \mathcal{V}(n)$.

(2) Note that for $A \in \Theta^\pm(n)$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda(n, r - \sigma(A))$ we have $A(\mathbf{0}, \lambda, r) = [A + \text{diag}(\lambda)]$. Thus from 4.4 we see that $\zeta_r(U(n)) = \mathcal{S}(n, r)$, which has been proved in [6, 3.4].

We now use q -Schur algebras over k to realize quantum \mathfrak{gl}_n over k , where k is a field containing an l -th primitive root ε of 1 with $l \geq 1$ odd. Specializing v to ε , k will be viewed as a \mathcal{Z} -module. For $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n$ we shall denote the image of $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} \mu \\ \lambda \end{smallmatrix}\right]$ in k by $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} \mu \\ \lambda \end{smallmatrix}\right]_\varepsilon$. Let $U_k(n) = U(n) \otimes_{\mathcal{Z}} k$ and $\mathcal{S}_k(n, r) = \mathcal{S}(n, r) \otimes_{\mathcal{Z}} k$. By restriction, the map $\zeta_r : \mathbf{U}(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(n, r)$ induces an algebra homomorphism $\zeta_r : U(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(n, r)$. By tensoring with the field k , we get an algebra homomorphism

$$\zeta_{r,k} := \zeta_r \otimes id : U_k(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_k(n, r).$$

Let

$$\overline{U_k(n)} = U_k(n) / \langle K_i^l - 1 \mid 1 \leq i \leq n-1 \rangle.$$

Since $\zeta_{r,k}(K_i^l) = 1$, $\zeta_{r,k}$ induces an algebra homomorphism

$$\bar{\zeta}_{r,k} : \overline{U_k(n)} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_k(n, r)$$

satisfying $\bar{\zeta}_{r,k}(\bar{x}) = \zeta_{r,k}(x)$ for $x \in U_k(n)$. The maps $\bar{\zeta}_{r,k}$ induce an algebra homomorphism

$$\bar{\zeta}_k := \prod_{r \geq 0} \bar{\zeta}_{r,k} : \overline{U_k(n)} \rightarrow \prod_{r \geq 0} \mathcal{S}_k(n, r)$$

satisfying $\bar{\zeta}_k(x) = (\bar{\zeta}_{r,k}(\bar{x}))_{r \geq 0}$ for $\bar{x} \in \overline{U_k(n)}$. For $A \in \Theta(n, r)$ we let $[A]_\varepsilon = [A] \otimes 1 \in \mathcal{S}_k(n, r)$. Similarly, for $A \in \Theta^\pm(n)$, $\delta \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n$, let $A(\delta, \lambda, r)_\varepsilon = A(\delta, \lambda, r) \otimes 1 \in \mathcal{S}_k(n, r)$, $A(\delta, \lambda)_\varepsilon = (A(\delta, \lambda, r)_\varepsilon)_{r \geq 0} \in \prod_{r \geq 0} \mathcal{S}_k(n, r)$ and $A(\delta)_\varepsilon = A(\delta, \mathbf{0})_\varepsilon$. From 4.2 and 4.4 we see that

$$\bar{\zeta}_k(\overline{U_k(n)}) = \text{span}_k \{A(\delta, \lambda)_\varepsilon \mid A \in \Theta^\pm(n), \delta, \lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n, \delta_i \in \{0, 1\}, \forall i\}.$$

Theorem 4.6. *The algebra homomorphism $\bar{\zeta}_k$ is injective. Furthermore, the set*

$$\mathfrak{B}_k := \{A(\mathbf{0})_\varepsilon 0(-\lambda, \lambda)_\varepsilon \mid A \in \Theta^\pm(n), \lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n\}$$

forms a k -basis of $\bar{\zeta}_k(\overline{U_k(n)})$.

Proof. We will identify $U(n)$ with $\mathcal{V}(n)$ via the map ζ defined in 4.4. From 4.2 and [13, 6.4(b)], we see that the set

$$\{A(\mathbf{0})0(l\delta)0(-\lambda, \lambda) \otimes 1 \mid A \in \Theta^\pm(n), \lambda, \delta \in \mathbb{N}^n, \delta_i \in \{0, 1\}, \forall i\}$$

forms a k -basis for $U_k(n)$. It follows that $\bar{\zeta}_k(\overline{U_k(n)})$ is spanned by the set \mathfrak{B}_k . Thus it is enough to prove that the set \mathfrak{B}_k is linearly independent.

Assume

$$\sum_{A \in \Theta^\pm(n), \lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n} f_{A,\lambda} A(\mathbf{0})_\varepsilon 0(-\lambda, \lambda)_\varepsilon = 0$$

where $f_{A,\lambda} \in k$. Then for any $r \geq 0$

$$\sum_{\substack{A \in \Theta^\pm(n) \\ \mu \in \Lambda(n, r - \sigma(A))}} \left(\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n} f_{A,\lambda} \varepsilon^{-\lambda \cdot (\mu + \text{co}(A))} \begin{bmatrix} \mu + \text{co}(A) \\ \lambda \end{bmatrix}_\varepsilon \right) [A + \text{diag}(\mu)]_\varepsilon = 0.$$

It follows that for any $A \in \Theta^\pm(n)$, $\mu \in \Lambda(n, r - \sigma(A))$ with $r \geq \sigma(A)$, we have

$$(4.6.1) \quad \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n} f_{A,\lambda} \varepsilon^{-\lambda \cdot (\mu + \text{co}(A))} \begin{bmatrix} \mu + \text{co}(A) \\ \lambda \end{bmatrix}_\varepsilon = 0.$$

We claim that for $A \in \Theta^\pm(n)$ and $\mu, \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ we have

$$(4.6.2) \quad \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n, \lambda \geq \alpha} f_{A,\lambda} \varepsilon^{-\lambda \cdot (\mu + \text{co}(A))} \begin{bmatrix} \mu + \text{co}(A) \\ \lambda - \alpha \end{bmatrix}_\varepsilon = 0.$$

We apply induction on $\sigma(\alpha)$. For $A \in \Theta^\pm(n)$ and $\mu, \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$, we denote

$$g_{A,\alpha,\mu} = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n, \lambda \geq \alpha} f_{A,\lambda} \varepsilon^{-\lambda \cdot (\mu + \text{co}(A))} \begin{bmatrix} \mu + \text{co}(A) \\ \lambda - \alpha \end{bmatrix}_\varepsilon.$$

If $\sigma(\alpha) = 0$ then the claim follows from (4.6.1). Now we assume $\sigma(\alpha) > 0$. There exist $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$ such that $\alpha = \beta + \mathbf{e}_i$. According to 3.3(1), for $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n$ with $\lambda \geq \beta$ we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mu + \mathbf{e}_i + \text{co}(A) \\ \lambda - \beta \end{bmatrix}_\varepsilon = \varepsilon^{\lambda_i - \beta_i} \begin{bmatrix} \mu + \text{co}(A) \\ \lambda - \beta \end{bmatrix}_\varepsilon + \varepsilon^{\lambda_i - \beta_i - 1 - \mu_i - \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} a_{k,i}} \begin{bmatrix} \mu + \text{co}(A) \\ \lambda - \beta - \mathbf{e}_i \end{bmatrix}_\varepsilon.$$

Thus by the induction hypothesis we conclude that

$$0 = g_{A,\beta,\mu+\mathbf{e}_i} = \varepsilon^{-\beta_i} g_{A,\beta,\mu} + \varepsilon^{-\beta_i-1-\sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} a_{k,i}-\mu_i} g_{A,\alpha,\mu} = \varepsilon^{-\beta_i-1-\sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} a_{k,i}-\mu_i} g_{A,\alpha,\mu}.$$

for $A \in \Theta^\pm(n)$ and $\mu \in \mathbb{N}^n$. It follows that $g_{A,\alpha,\mu} = 0$ for $A \in \Theta^\pm(n)$ and $\mu \in \mathbb{N}^n$, proving (4.6.2).

Let $\mathcal{X} = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n \mid f_{A,\lambda} \neq 0 \text{ for some } A \in \Theta^\pm(n)\}$. If $\mathcal{X} \neq \emptyset$, we may choose a maximal element ν in \mathcal{X} with respect to \leq . Then by (4.6.2) we have

$$f_{A,\nu} = \varepsilon^{\nu \cdot (\mu + \text{co}(A))} \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n, \lambda \geq \nu} f_{A,\lambda} \varepsilon^{-\lambda \cdot (\mu + \text{co}(A))} \begin{bmatrix} \mu + \text{co}(A) \\ \lambda - \nu \end{bmatrix}_\varepsilon = 0.$$

for $A \in \Theta^\pm(n)$. This is a contradiction. Thus $f_{A,\lambda} = 0$ for all $A \in \Theta^\pm(n)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n$. The assertion follows. \square

Remark 4.7. (1) Let $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ be the universal enveloping algebra of \mathfrak{gl}_n and let $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ be the Kostant \mathbb{Z} -form of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$. Let $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{Q}}(n, r) = \mathcal{S}(n, r) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$, $U_{\mathbb{Z}}(n) = U(n) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}$, where \mathbb{Z} and \mathbb{Q} are regarded as \mathbb{Z} -modules by specializing v to 1. Let $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{Z}}(n)$ be the \mathbb{Z} -submodule of $\prod_{r \geq 0} \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{Q}}(n, r)$ spanned by the set $\{A(\mathbf{0}, \lambda)_1 \mid A \in \Theta_{\Delta}^{\pm}(n), \lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n\}$. According to [13, 6.7(c)], 4.4 and 4.6 we conclude that $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{Z}}(n)$ is a \mathbb{Z} algebra and $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathfrak{gl}_n) \cong U_{\mathbb{Z}}(n) / \langle K_i - 1 \mid 1 \leq i \leq n \rangle \cong \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{Z}}(n)$.

(2) Assume $\varepsilon = 1 \in k$. Then $l = 1$ and $\mathcal{S}_k(n, r)$ is the Schur algebra over k . Let $\mathcal{W}_k(n)$ be the k -subspace of $\prod_{r \geq 0} \mathcal{S}_k(n, r)$ spanned by the set $\{A(\mathbf{0}, \lambda)_1 \mid A \in \Theta_{\Delta}^{\pm}(n), \lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n\}$. From [13, 6.7(c)] and 4.6 we see that $\mathcal{W}_k(n)$ is a k -algebra and $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathfrak{gl}_n) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} k \cong \overline{U_k(n)} \cong \mathcal{W}_k(n)$.

We end this paper with a conjecture on affine q -Schur algebras. Let $\Theta_{\Delta}(n)$ be the set of all $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ matrices $A = (a_{i,j})_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ with $a_{i,j} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

- (a) $a_{i,j} = a_{i+n,j+n}$ for $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$, and
- (b) for every $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, both sets $\{j \in \mathbb{Z} \mid a_{i,j} \neq 0\}$ and $\{j \in \mathbb{Z} \mid a_{j,i} \neq 0\}$ are finite.

Let $\mathbb{Z}_{\Delta}^n = \{(\lambda_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mid \lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}, \lambda_i = \lambda_{i-n} \text{ for } i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and $\mathbb{N}_{\Delta}^n = \{(\lambda_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\Delta}^n \mid \lambda_i \geq 0\}$. For $r \in \mathbb{N}$ let $\Theta_{\Delta}(n, r) = \{A \in \Theta_{\Delta}(n) \mid \sigma(A) = r\}$ and $\Lambda_{\Delta}(n, r) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}_{\Delta}^n \mid \sigma(\lambda) = r\}$ where $\sigma(\lambda) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \lambda_i$ and $\sigma(A) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n, j \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{i,j}$. For $\lambda \in \Lambda_{\Delta}(n, r)$, let $\text{diag}(\lambda) = (\delta_{i,j} \lambda_i)_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \Theta_{\Delta}(n, r)$.

Let $\mathcal{S}_{\Delta}(n, r)$ be the affine q -Schur algebra over \mathbb{Z} . It has a normalized \mathbb{Z} -basis $\{[A] \mid A \in \Theta_{\Delta}(n, r)\}$ (see [15, 1.9]). We put $\mathcal{S}_{\Delta}(n, r) = \mathcal{S}_{\Delta}(n, r) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}(v)$.

Let $\Theta_{\Delta}^{\pm}(n) = \{A \in \Theta_{\Delta}(n) \mid a_{i,i} = 0 \text{ for all } i\}$. For $A \in \Theta_{\Delta}^{\pm}(n)$, $\delta \in \mathbb{Z}_{\Delta}^n$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}_{\Delta}^n$ let

$$A(\delta, \lambda, r) = \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda_{\Delta}(n, r - \sigma(A))} v^{\mu \cdot \delta} \begin{bmatrix} \mu \\ \lambda \end{bmatrix} [A + \text{diag}(\mu)] \in \mathcal{S}_{\Delta}(n, r)$$

$$A(\delta, \lambda) = (A(\delta, \lambda, r))_{r \geq 0} \in \prod_{r \geq 0} \mathcal{S}_{\Delta}(n, r)$$

where $\mu \cdot \delta = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \mu_i \delta_i$ and $\begin{bmatrix} \mu \\ \lambda \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mu_1 \\ \lambda_1 \end{bmatrix} \cdots \begin{bmatrix} \mu_n \\ \lambda_n \end{bmatrix}$. Let $A(\delta) = A(\delta, \mathbf{0})$, where $\mathbf{0} = (\dots, 0, \dots, 0, \dots) \in \mathbb{N}_{\Delta}^n$.

We shall denote by $\mathcal{V}_{\Delta}(n)$ the \mathbb{Z} -submodule of $\prod_{r \geq 0} \mathcal{S}_{\Delta}(n, r)$ spanned by $\{A(\delta, \lambda) \mid A \in \Theta_{\Delta}^{\pm}(n), \delta \in \mathbb{Z}_{\Delta}^n, \lambda \in \mathbb{N}_{\Delta}^n\}$.

Lemma 4.8. *Each of the following set forms a \mathbb{Z} -basis for $\mathcal{V}_{\Delta}(n)$:*

- (1) $\{0(\delta, \lambda)A(\mathbf{0}) \mid A \in \Theta_{\Delta}^{\pm}(n), \delta, \lambda \in \mathbb{N}_{\Delta}^n, \delta_i \in \{0, 1\}, \forall i\};$
- (2) $\{A(\mathbf{0})0(\delta, \lambda) \mid A \in \Theta_{\Delta}^{\pm}(n), \delta, \lambda \in \mathbb{N}_{\Delta}^n, \delta_i \in \{0, 1\}, \forall i\};$
- (3) $\{A(\delta, \lambda) \mid A \in \Theta_{\Delta}^{\pm}(n), \delta, \lambda \in \mathbb{N}_{\Delta}^n, \delta_i \in \{0, 1\}, \forall i\}.$

Proof. The assertion can be proved in a way similar to the proof of 4.2. \square

According to 4.3, $\mathcal{V}(n)$ is a \mathcal{Z} -subalgebra of $\prod_{r \geq 0} \mathcal{S}(n, r)$. Thus, it is natural to formulate the following conjecture.

Conjecture 4.9. $\mathcal{V}_\Delta(n)$ is a \mathcal{Z} -subalgebra of $\prod_{r \geq 0} \mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)$.

Remarks 4.10. (1) According to [8], Conjecture 4.9 is true in the classical ($v = 1$) case.

(2) Let $\mathbf{V}_\Delta(n)$ be the $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ -subspace of $\prod_{r \geq 0} \mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)$ spanned by all $A(\delta)$ for $A \in \Theta_\Delta^\pm(n)$ and $\delta \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. It is conjectured in [7, 5.5(2)] that $\mathbf{V}_\Delta(n)$ is a $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ -subalgebra of $\prod_{r \geq 0} \mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)$. From 4.1 and 4.8, we see that $\mathbf{V}_\Delta(n) \cong \mathcal{V}_\Delta(n) \otimes \mathbb{Q}(v)$. Thus if Conjecture 4.9 is true, then we conclude that $\mathbf{V}_\Delta(n)$ is a $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ -subalgebra of $\prod_{r \geq 0} \mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)$.

(3) If Conjecture 4.9 is true, then by [2, 3.7.3] we conclude that the conjecture formulated in [2, 3.8.6] is true and $\mathcal{V}_\Delta(n)$ is isomorphic to $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_\Delta(n)$, where $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_\Delta(n)$ is a certain \mathcal{Z} -module defined in [2, (3.8.1.1)].

REFERENCES

- [1] A. A. Beilinson, G. Lusztig and R. MacPherson, *A geometric setting for the quantum deformation of GL_n* , Duke Math. J. **61** (1990), 655–677.
- [2] B.B. Deng, J. Du and Q. Fu, *A double Hall algebra approach to affine quantum Schur–Weyl theory*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series (to appear), arXiv:1010.4619.
- [3] R. Dipper and G. James, *The q -Schur algebra*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **59** (1989), 23–50.
- [4] R. Dipper and G. James, *q -Tensor spaces and q -Weyl modules*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **327** (1991), 251–282.
- [5] J. Du, *Kazhdan–Lusztig bases and isomorphism theorem for q -Schur algebras*, Comtemp. Math. **139**(1992), 121–140.
- [6] J. Du, *A note on the quantized Weyl reciprocity at roots of unity*, Alg. Colloq. **2**(1995), 363–372.
- [7] J. Du and Q. Fu, *A modified BLM approach to quantum affine \mathfrak{gl}_n* , Math. Z. **266** (2010), 747–781.
- [8] Q. Fu, *BLM realization for $\mathcal{U}_\mathbb{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$* , preprint, arXiv:1204.3142.
- [9] I. Grojnowski and G. Lusztig, *On bases of irreducible representations of quantum GL_n* , Contemp. Math. **139** (1992), 167–174.
- [10] G. James and A. Kerber, *The representation theory of the symmetric group*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, no. 6, Addison-Wesley, London, 1981.
- [11] M. Jimbo, *A q -analogue of $U(\mathfrak{gl}(N+1))$, Hecke algebras, and the Yang-Baxter equation*, Lett. Math. Phys. **11** (1986), 247–252.
- [12] M.Q. Liu, *Identity for the product of Gaussian binomial coefficients*, preprint.
- [13] G. Lusztig, *Finite dimensional Hopf algebras arising from quantized universal enveloping algebras*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **3** (1990), 257–296.
- [14] G. Lusztig, *Canonical bases arising from quantized enveloping algebras*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **3** (1990), 447–498.
- [15] G. Lusztig, *Aperiodicity in quantum affine \mathfrak{gl}_n* , Asian J. Math. **3** (1999), 147–177.
- [16] C. M. Ringel, *Hall algebras and quantum groups*, Invent. Math. **101** (1990), 583–592.
- [17] C. M. Ringel, *Hall algebras revisited*, Israel Mathematical Conference Proceedings, Vol. **7** (1993), 171–176.
- [18] M. Takeuchi, *Some topics on $Gl_q(n)$* , J. Algebra **147** (1992), 379–410.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TONGJI UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI, 200092, CHINA.

E-mail address: q.fu@tongji.edu.cn